



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,533	03/14/2001	Steve Pellegrin	07844-445001 / P409	3807
21876	7590	10/17/2005	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. Box 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/808,533	PELLEGRIN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Marc R. Filipczyk	2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1.  The amendment filed on 26 September 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a)  entered.
- b)  entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c)  disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
- d)  disapproved. See explanation below.
- e)  entered in part. See explanation below.

*The proposed independent claim 27 comprises different scope as the allowed independent claim 22 and is subject to a 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection. Specifically, claim 27 line 12, the limitation of, "the conversion engine" lacks antecedent basis and at least for that reason changes the scope of the claim. Proposed dependent claims 28-31 depend from claim 27 and at least comprise the deficiencies of their parent claim 27. As such, Examiner respectfully submits that the proposed claims 27-31 are not entered as further search and consideration would be required. Regarding claim 26, the change of "the instances" to "the instance" is accepted because it clarifies the scope of the claimed invention. Thus, claims 22-26 are accepted and claims 27-31 are not entered.*



FRANTZ COBY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER